

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/660,022	09/12/2000	Antonio Lopez Cabrera	U-012473-1	5837
7:	590 04/23/2003			
Janet I Cord			EXAMINER	
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			WARE, TODD	
New York, NY	10023			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	124
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	<i>'T</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		. M.⊜
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/660,022	CABRERA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Todd D Ware	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will; b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>29 January 2003</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal mat under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 2-25 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-25</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	e priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	-	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	.8) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt amendment filed 1-29-03 is acknowledged. Claims 21-23, and 25 have been amended as requested. Claims 2-25 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1-29-03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 2-21, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Sachs et al (5,945,124; hereafter '124).

Application/Control Number: 09/660,022

Art Unit: 1615

'124 discloses pantoprazole pellets having a core, a layer comprising HPMC and pantoprazole, another layer that is a release-slowing layer applied over the HPMC/pantoprazole layer, and an enteric coating applied thereon (abstract; C 3, L 61-C4, 14; C4, L 20-23; C 4, L 48-C 6, L 9; example 3; claims). The release-slowing layer comprises ethylcellulose and HPMC.

4. Claims 2-21, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sachs et al (5,945,124; hereafter '124) or Sachs et al (6,068,856; hereafter '856).

'124 and '856 disclose pantoprazole pellets having a core, a layer comprising HPMC and pantoprazole, another layer that is a release-slowing layer applied over the HPMC/pantoprazole layer, and an enteric coating applied thereon (abstract; C 3, L 61-C4, 14; C4, L 20-23; C 4, L 48-C 6, L 9; example 3; claims).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-21, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachs et al (5,945,124; hereafter '124) or Sachs et al (6,068,856; hereafter '856).
- '124 teaches pantoprazole pellets having a core, a layer comprising HPMC and pantoprazole, another layer that is a release-slowing layer applied over the

Application/Control Number: 09/660,022

Art Unit: 1615

HPMC/pantoprazole layer, and an enteric coating applied thereon (abstract; C 3, L 61-C4, 14; C4, L 20-23; C 4, L 48-C 6, L 9; example 3; claims). The release-slowing layer comprises ethylcellulose and HPMC. Plasticizers and other excipients are also contemplated. Furthermore, varying the thickness (therefore the amount) controls the release rate. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to provide mixtures of pellets having different release profiles in an effort to provide quicker release of the active agent while also providing prolonged release of the active agent.

7. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachs et al (5,945,124; hereafter '124) in view of Paradissis et al (5,445,829; hereafter '829) or Sachs et al (6,068,856; hereafter '856) in view of Paradissis et al (5,445,829; hereafter '829).

'124 and '856 are relied upon for all that they teach as stated previously. Neither '124 nor '856 teaches the limitation where the pellets have different release profiles of the active agents.

'829 is relied upon for teaching capsules comprising fast and slow release layered pellets to provide both a quicker onset of action and a prolonged duration of action.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of '829 with '124 or '856 with the expectation of

providing a particular dose release profile that provides both a quick onset of action and a prolonged duration of action.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 1-29-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since applicants' arguments are based upon the same principle, the response to arguments is applied concurrently for the responses to the different rejections.
- 9. Applicant argues that amendment of claim 25, section b, to require that the layer over the inert nucleus (a) consists of an acid labile benzimidazole compound, an inert, non-alkaline polymer soluble in water and one or more pharmaceutically acceptable inert excipients overcomes the prior art, since the sodium hydroxide of the prior art is not required in the present invention. However, this argument is not found persuasive. Section b includes one or more pharmaceutically acceptable inert excipients and sodium hydroxide is within this limitation, since the specification defines "inert" as not reacting with the active ingredient. It is also the position of the Examiner that the prior art teaches omission of the sodium hydroxide in column 4, lines 20-31 of '124 and '856. At these locations, the references teach that the sodium hydroxide is added only to increase the buffering capacity if this is so desired. It does not teach that it is required. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1615

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

tw April 18, 2003 THUBMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600